EXAM ADVANCED LOGIC

April 9th, 2013

Instructions:

- Put you name and student number on the first page.
- Put your name on subsequent pages as well.
- Do not use pencil (or an erasable pen) or a red pen to make your exam.
- Motivate all your answers.
- If you want to inspect your exam after it has been graded, you can do so by making an
 appointment with Barteld Kooi.
- Please fill in the anonymous course evaluation.

Good luck!

1. Induction (10 pt) Let $\Pi(A)$ be the set of propositional parameters occurring in A. For example if $A = (p \land \neg q)$, then $\Pi(A) = \{p, q\}$.

Now consider the sublanguage \mathcal{L}_D of the language of propositional logic.

- i Each propositional parameter p is a formula of \mathcal{L}_D .
- ii If A is a formula of \mathcal{L}_D , then so is $\neg A$.
- iii If A and B are formulas of \mathcal{L}_D such that $\Pi(A) \cap \Pi(B) = \emptyset$, then so is $(A \wedge B)$.
- iv Nothing is a formula of \mathcal{L}_D unless it is generated by repeated applications of i, ii and iii.

Prove by induction that for each formula A of \mathcal{L}_D both A and $\neg A$ are satisfiable. (A formula A is satisfiable iff there exists a valuation $v: P \to \{0, 1\}$ such that v(A) = 1.)

2. Three-valued logics (10 pt) Show that for all formulas A and B

$$A \models_{RM_3} B \text{ iff } \neg B \models_{K_3} \neg A$$

3. FDE tableau (10 pt) By constructing a suitable tableau, determine whether the following is valid in FDE. If the inference is invalid, provide a counter-model.

$$\neg p \lor q, \neg (r \land s) \vdash (\neg p \lor s) \lor (\neg r \lor q)$$

NB: Do not forget to draw a conclusion from the tableau.

4. Fuzzy logic (10 pt) Determine whether the following holds in L_{\aleph} (where $D = \{1\}$). If so, show that if the premises have value 1, so does the conclusion. If not, provide a countermodel.

$$p \vee q \models q \to (p \to q)$$

5. Basic modal tableau (10 pt) By constructing a suitable tableau, determine whether the following is valid in K. If the inference is invalid, provide a counter-model.

$$\Box \neg p, \Diamond (p \lor \Diamond q) \vdash_K \Diamond \Diamond (q \land p)$$

NB: Do not forget to draw a conclusion from the tableau.

6. Normal modal tableau (10 pt) By constructing a suitable tableau, determine whether the following is valid in $K_{\tau\phi\beta}^t$. If the inference is invalid, provide a counter-model.

$$p \vdash_{K_{\delta\sigma}} \Box \Diamond \Diamond p$$

NB: Do not forget to draw a conclusion from the tableau.

7. Soundness and completeness (10pt) Consider the following branch of a tableau b:

$$\Diamond p, 0$$
 $\Box (q \land \Diamond p), 0$
 $\neg \Box (p \land q), 0$
 $0r1$
 $p, 1$
 $\Diamond \neg (p \land q), 0$
 $0r2$
 $\neg (p \land q), 2$

Consider the following model $I = \langle W, R, v \rangle$:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} W & = & \{w_1, w_2\} \\ R & = & \{\langle w_1, w_1 \rangle, \langle w_1, w_2 \rangle, \langle w_2, w_1 \rangle\} \\ v_{w_1}(p) & = & 0 \\ v_{w_1}(q) & = & 0 \\ v_{w_2}(p) & = & 1 \\ v_{w_2}(q) & = & 1 \end{array}$$

Show that I is faithful to b. (This means you have to provide a function $f: \mathbb{N} \to W$ and show that it has the desired properties.)

8. First-order modal tableau (10 pt) By constructing a suitable tableau, determine whether the following is valid in CK. If the inference is invalid, provide a counter-model.

$$\Box \neg \exists x (Ax \land Bx), \exists x \Diamond (Cx \land Ax) \vdash_{CK} \Diamond \exists x (Cx \land \neg Bx)$$

NB: Do not forget to draw a conclusion from the tableau.

9. Default logic (10 pt) Consider the following set of default rules:

$$D=\{d_1=rac{p:q}{q}, \qquad d_2=rac{p:q\wedge r}{s\wedge r}, \qquad d_3=rac{q:\lnot r}{s\wedge \lnot r}\},$$

and initial set of facts:

$$W = \{p\}.$$

Recall that a formula φ is a *skeptical consequence* of (W, D) if and only if φ is true in every extension of (W, D), while it is a *credulous consequence (goedgelovig gevolg)* of (W, D) if and only if φ is true in some extension of (W, D).

- (a) Draw the process tree of this default theory.
- (b) Is $\neg r$ a skeptical consequence of this theory?
- (c) Is $q \wedge \neg r$ a credulous consequence of this theory?